LESSON PLAN (2/14)

Course Code: ERGO 6005		Topic/Title of Lesson: Introduction to Research Methodology & Critiquing				
Course Name: Research in Ergonomics						
Week: 2		Date: TBD				
Total Time for Lesson: 3 hours		Section: TBD				
Purpose	e of Lesson: To break down what	components make an article, what to look for in quality research, introduce ergonomic examples				
based or	n various research design (syster	atic review, RCT, cohort study, case study)				
Lesson Learning Objectives:		Relevant Course Learning Outcomes:				
1) Explore hierarchy of		CLO #1				
research/evidence in greater detail		Recognize sources of research as they rank within the hierarchy of evidence (randomized controlled trials, observational, case studies, etc.)				
2) Understand how to identify and		CLO #2				
appraise quality in research		Analyse the functional components of a peer-reviewed scientific article (objective, methodology, limitations, and conclusions), critique quality, provide suggestions to improve, and provide a take-home summary				
3) Validi	ty/Reliability knowledge	CLO #3				
assessed	d via quiz and follow-up	Measure and document the validity and reliability of an ergonomic tool				
practical application opportunity						
introduc	ced					
TIME	CONTENT	TEACHING & LEARNING ACTIVITIES	LESSON RESOURCES/MATERIALS	CHECKING FOR UNDERSTANDING		
00:00 -	Refresher on Quiz content	Take up Quiz #1 (5%)	Prepared quiz marking	Determine everyone's comfort level		
00:10			key	with material. Identify any concepts		
				needed to be readdressed or		
				clarified		
00:10 -	Knowledge Check	Discuss individual and collective		Roundtable discussion about		
00:20		experiences in research		individual's background with		
				research articles. At what level		
				have you applied them, collected		
				data, written manuscripts, etc.		
00:20 -	What makes an article	Breakdown the construct of a	Whiteboard and	Divide into five groups (abstract,		
00:40		journal article	markers	introduction, methods, results,		
				conclusion). Give them 10 minutes		

00:40 – 00:55	What makes an article good or bad?	Appraise and form an opinion (both positive and negative) of a selected article – homework assignment from previous class. Students begin to form opinions and offer justification		to brainstorm key points of what is necessary and present to class Roundtable discussion – have them summarize (from a high-level) two sources of information, one that they would trust to support a workplace decision, and one that they would not. Justify why they chose this.
00:55 – 01:05	Break	N/A	N/A	N/A
01:05 – 01:35	Introducing the hierarchy of research design methods – which is more/most powerful to convey a hypothesis	Review article to define the hierarchy and clear examples of each	Prepared slides based on summary/examples drawn from: Example source(s): • Concato et al. (2000) • Brighton et al. (2003) • Young & Solomon (2009)	Lightning round, generate as many research idea "themes" for each level of hierarchy addressed/defined
01:35 – 02:10	Analyze 1 st ergonomics article	Critically analyze a journal article Top-bottom approach, starting broad and narrowing in on the specifics	Example source(s): • Waters et al (2006a) And accompanying slide with summary points	Class discussion – prepare a summary in 10 words or less, identify strengths and weaknesses, how could it be improved upon, would you trust this article as source material for a workplace decision?
02:10 – 02:20	Break	N/A	N/A	N/A
02:20 – 02:45	Analyze 2 nd ergonomics article	Critically analyze a journal article	Example source(s): • Waters et al. (2006b)	Same as above. How did this article stack up to the first in terms of layout, quality?

			And accompanying slide					
			with summary points					
02:45 -	Housekeeping & Conclusion	Introduce Group Report #1 (15%).	Have students assist to					
02:50		In groups (3 or 4) choose from a	"build" the course					
		list of ergonomic tools. Prepare a	website by finding and					
		report documenting	generating					
		a) Its background, origin,	documents/links to					
		and purpose	essential ergonomic					
		b) Its inter-rater & intra-	tools (e.g., Snook					
		rater reliability (applied)	Tables, NIOSH, RULA,					
			QEC, etc). Each group					
		Upcoming homework:	to be assigned one of					
		 Read the 3 prescribed 	said tools for Group					
		papers and for each fill	Report #1 (15%).					
		out worksheet to be						
		submitted (research type,	Example source(s):					
		theme, critique, summary	 Dul & Neumann 					
		of results, limitations,	(2009)					
		example of workplace	 Rose (1991) 					
		ergo scenario it could be	 Virzi et al. 					
		applied)	(1993)					
Evaluatio	Evaluation: Quiz #1 (5%) to evaluate topics (some new, some refresher) from week 1, and introduction to the Group Report #1 (15%) due							
week 4								
Reflection:								